```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
                EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA
2
                          EASTERN DIVISION
3
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, - Docket No. 5:17-cr-265-FL-1
4
5
       Plaintiff,
                                  New Bern, North Carolina
                                  January 9, 2018
6
                                  Motion Hearing
           V.
7
    JUSTIN COLE MILAM,
8
       Defendant.
9
                   TRANSCRIPT OF MOTION HEARING
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE LOUISE WOOD FLANAGAN
10
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    For the Plaintiffs:
                          United States Attorneys' Office
13
                          By: Ethan A. Ontjes
                          310 New Bern Avenue, Suite 800
                          Raleigh, NC 27601
14
                          (919) 856-4500
15
    For the Defendant:
                          Manning Law Firm
                          Thomas Courtland Manning
16
                          1312 Annapolis Drive
                          Suite 201
17
                          Raleigh, NC 27608
18
                          (919) 834-3499
19
    Court Reporter:
                          Tracy L. McGurk, RMR, CRR
                          413 Middle St.
20
                          New Bern, NC 28560
                          (419) 392-6626
2.1
22
23
24
    Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,
    transcript produced by notereading.
25
```

(Commenced at 11:10 a.m.) 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Milam, my name is Judge This is the time the Court has set aside to 00:00:02 3 Flanagan. sentence you for manufacturing child pornography. 00:00:04 4 Have 00:00:08 you read the presentence report? 5 00:00:09 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 00:00:10 THE COURT: Have you had enough time to prepare with Mr. Manning for sentencing today? 00:00:11 8 00:00:14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 You're named in a one-count 00:00:15 10 THE COURT: 00:00:17 11 criminal information. The offense conduct, as described 00:00:21 12 in the presentence report, puts you in contact with an 00:00:25 13 individual named Christopher Coates, a registered sex offender, residing in Carpinteria, California back in 00:00:30 14 00:00:34 15 June of 2015. As I read the presentence report, I gather that on one day, April 15 of 2015, you exchanged 00:00:39 16 77 messages with Mr. Coates. And of those 77 messages, 00:00:46 17 34 image files depicting child pornography were 00:01:05 18 00:01:09 19 exchanged among those messages between you and Mr. 00:01:15 20 Coates. 00:01:19 2.1 Then in October of 2015 a subpoena was 00:01:26 22 obtained and served. And as described, eventually it 00:01:38 23 was determined that you were, in fact, the luke.smith25 00:01:46 24 who corresponded with Mr. Coates on April 15 of 2015.

Now, it took some time to search your

25

00:01:53

residence. That happened on January 12 of 2017. 1 you acknowledged that this was your account, and that 2 you had begun trading child pornography about two years 3 earlier, which would put you back in 2015, that you 4 periodically deleted your child pornography collection 5 only to began again. You acknowledged having images of 6 7 child pornography on your smart phone to include images of toddlers being sexually abused. 8 Your smart phone was seized. An external 9

hard drive was located, and this hard drive also revealed images of child pornography. So the probation office says that all total, there are 986 images of child pornography and 117 videos. And some of those images portray sadistic or masochistic conduct. For example, one image depicted a nude female between the ages of five and nine with her arms and legs bound by a rope bent over the back of a chair. Sixty-nine images and one video in your collection depicted sexual abuse of infants and/or toddlers.

The investigation recovered numerous chat conversations between you and other users of this service called Kik, which is used fairly frequently to exchange child pornography.

130 Kik Messenger user accounts were identified as receiving or sharing child pornography

:50 1 with you. Most of those accounts were located overseas.

Probation highlights some of the more horrific exchanges including that you acknowledge sexually abusing a three-year-old child and a two-year-old child. However, despite your admissions of sexual abuse of multiple children, the investigation didn't uncover any evidence of hands-on offenses.

Then in the presentence report in paragraph 11 it continues to describe ten child victims, separate victims that you communicated with and encouraged to send you images of their bodies classified as child pornography. These victims are referred to as between the ages of 13 and 17. And mostly the images have to do with them sending you pictures of their erect penises.

Now, your family background is given to me, and it's described in the letters that have been presented to the Court as being a very sheltered existence. You have been very involved with your church over the years and contributed to that community. You went to Liberty College, and I believe you were in your senior year when you were arrested.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: I'm not sure how well you performed at Liberty University except that you need to complete one more semester in order to earn a bachelor

00:05:40

00:05:43

24

25

of science. 00:05:47 1

> The scoring takes over the bulk of this report. It's very complicated and results in a total score which is to say far in excess of the total offense level category that's the highest under the guidelines; that's a 43. I think it ended up being -- well, it ended up being a 45; 48, then three points are taken off for acceptance of responsibility. But because you can't go higher than a 43, that's where we are.

> Now, under the guidelines somebody in a Criminal History Category of I, which you are -- it's the most favorable category because you haven't had any contacts with the criminal justice system -- would be sentenced to life in prison. But you're charged under a statute that provides for not less than 15 years in prison or more than 30 years. So the guidelines tell the Court to consider a 30-year or 360-month term of incarceration.

> Your behavior can be supervised for not less than five years nor more than the rest of your life.

> The fine could be as much as a quarter of \$1 million. The quidelines suggest a range that starts at \$50,000.

> > There's a \$100 special assessment.

Now, I read your memorandum, Mr. Manning,

00:05:53 2 00:05:57 3 00:06:02 4 00:06:09 5 00:06:15 6 7 00:06:25 00:06:29 8 00:06:32 00:06:37 10 00:06:42 11 12 00:06:45 00:06:48 13 00:06:52 14 00:06:55 15 00:06:59 16 00:07:04 17 00:07:08 18 00:07:10 19 20 00:07:13 00:07:16 2.1 00:07:18 22 00:07:20 23 00:07:21 2.4 25 00:07:27

and you were hopeful that the government would be filing 00:07:30 1 a motion, but I don't have one in front of me. 00:07:32 2 MR. MANNING: I was informed shortly after I 00:07:34 3 00:07:36 4 filed the motion that the government was not going to be filing a 5K1.1 motion. 00:07:40 5 00:07:49 THE COURT: So I have a couple questions. 6 7 These ten child victims, how did you find them? 00:07:55 00:08:01 8 THE DEFENDANT: It was through a -- it was 00:08:04 through a website called Enable, and it essentially 9 partners you with a random person. Then that's how I 00:08:11 10 00:08:14 got in contact with those ten. 11 THE COURT: Does that conform to the 00:08:18 12 00:08:20 13 government's understanding? 00:08:21 14 MR. ONTJES: Yes, Your Honor. Detective 00:08:21 15 Omegle can explain this better. 00:08:27 16 THE COURT: How do you spell that? Omegle is O-m-e-g-l-e. 00:08:27 17 MR. ONTJES: 00:08:32 18 And it's a chat form, Judge Flanagan, that 00:08:34 19 allows you to go into it; you put in certain parameters, 20 00:08:38 topics that you're interested in talking about or 2.1 00:08:41 meeting people interested in the same thing. In this 00:08:44 22 case young boys primarily that the Defendant was 00:08:46 23 interested in. Once he met these people, as he stated, 00:08:50 24 anonymously, he then went offline, if you will, onto a 25 Kik account where he then began trading and, as the 00:08:55

```
Court's already outlined in paragraph 11, began
00:08:58
        1
            soliciting them to send him child pornography of
00:09:01
        2
00:09:05
            themselves.
        3
00:09:06
        4
                         THE COURT: And he was doing that between
            November of 2016 and January of 2017?
00:09:08
        5
00:09:15
                         MR. ONTJES:
                                       Literally the day before the
        6
        7
            search, Judge Flanagan.
00:09:16
00:09:17
        8
                         THE COURT: So he's home on college
00:09:19
            Christmas break?
        9
                         MR. ONTJES:
                                       That's correct. He was home
00:09:20
       10
00:09:22
            for the holidays from Liberty University.
       11
       12
00:09:31
                         THE COURT:
                                      Is there a psychological report
00:09:33
       13
            that I may have overlooked?
                                        There is not.
00:09:35
       14
                         MR. MANNING:
00:09:42
       15
                         THE COURT: All right. Well, tell me about
            his college years. I don't see much in the submissions
00:09:44
       16
            that highlight his involvement at Liberty University.
00:09:49
       17
00:09:53
       18
                         MR. MANNING:
                                       Your Honor, his major was
            music. He also had particular interest in leading
00:09:59
       19
       20
00:10:06
            prayer sessions. And his stated intention and his
       2.1
            current intention is to enter the ministry both for
00:10:12
00:10:16
       22
            music and as a prayer leader. The attachment to his
00:10:23
       23
            father's letter in the materials is accurate with
00:10:28
       24
            respect to what his -- what he had been doing. His
       25
00:10:33
            grades were a little bit above average, and he was
```

heading for graduation this past spring when he was arrested.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's the resumé you're referring to?

MR. MANNING: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, I guess this is a young man who is looking at a lot of time in prison. What do you think is the appropriate sentence?

MR. MANNING: Your Honor, I'll preface my recommendation by saying this: I've been working with him for a year. I've talked to him probably more than 20 times about the offense, about the content of the material that the investigation showed. I've worked with Detective Ouellette, with Justin, with him trying to give law enforcement more information so that detective Ouellette could continue and deepen his investigation. And I've spoken to the people who have written letters. I spent time talking with his parents. And the trajectory of his life, with the exception of the crimes he's committed, has been absolutely straight and straight vertical from the time he was very small until the time of his arrest and even while he's been in jail in Wake County these past 12 months. He has worked toward being in the ministry. And the resumé shows that when he was younger, the school he went to, the North

25

00:12:35

Raleigh Christian Academy, also at Liberty University, on breaks and in the summertimes he was always being an intern at a church, working with the minister. The letters that Your Honor has reviewed show that that's been his primary focus.

The most telling of the letters I think is the second one in the brief, Your Honor, from Jill Davis describing an event. She's known him for years, and they were riding somewhere on a trip. They stop at a He's 19 years old, which is the same timeframe as when these interfaces with these young boys on the internet occurred. He had no idea what a rest area was. And there are three or four other letters which describe him in terms of when he was younger and befriending their family friends' children who were moving into town or going to school with him that he was And throughout this past year that has been a naive. profound impression on me is that he is naive. still naive with respect to the enormity of what he's facing and the enormity of what has happened in this other life that he leads that apparently has not crossed over into what his plan is for himself. I mean, it is -- I've represented thousands of people, and this is almost a unique situation for me because there's usually a bridge somewhere in the thinking or in the actions of

people I represent where the regular course of their lives becomes connected with and goes along parallel and intertwined with their criminal conduct. Here, what he did over a period of three or four years is completely separated, as far as I can tell, from anything that had to do with his education, with his schooling, with his relationships with his peer or older people. There's a line down the middle that doesn't seem to connect at any point that I've been able to determine.

And I looked at a lot of the material.

Detective Ouellette, when I was doing my due diligence,
taking me through some of this material that's described
in the presentence report, it's completely foreign to
what everybody that knows him well or thought they knew
him well and what I've been able to determine from
representing him for over a year.

Do I think that he needs treatment?

Absolutely. Evaluation and treatment for this.

And he's explained to me how he became involved with pornography and then ultimately ended up in child pornography. How he walked away it from two or three times. And it's referenced, I believe, in the presentence report where he would erase everything, then return to it. He did not have the skill set or the

tools with which to resist going back to it, but still 00:15:57 1 maintained it completely separately from the rest of the 2 trajectory of his life and his education. 3

> Do I think that he's a monster? In the world that we live in, I've represented monsters, and Your Honor has dealt with monsters. Do I think he's a monster?

> > No.

Do I think there's something that needs to be addressed seriously with him in terms of evaluation and treatment?

Yes.

Do I think that the public needs to be separated from him for 30 years or more as a result of what he's done?

No.

But I do think that he needs to be seriously evaluated and treated and have this other part of him identified and erased if possible or brought into control.

And then the rest of his life is already on autopilot in terms of what he wants to do. And we've talked about how he's going to be prohibited from doing a lot of things if he ever regains his freedom in terms of dealing with persons that he wants to counsel because

00:16:01 00:16:05 00:16:09 4 00:16:14 5

6

7

11

14

00:16:21 8

00:16:17

00:16:20

00:16:21

00:16:28

00:16:34

00:16:24 10

00:16:29 12

00:16:31 13

00:16:38 15

00:16:40 16

17 00:16:41 00:16:46 18

00:16:53 19

20 00:16:58

2.1 00:16:58

00:17:02 22

00:17:06 23

00:17:09 24

25 00:17:12

a lot of them may be children, people underage, and he will not be permitted to do that except under extremely circumscribed circumstances. Nevertheless, that is what he wants to do. He wants to go into a ministry as he is able to.

And I will say from talking to jail people, jail personnel in Wake County where he's been for the last year, he had a ministry going in his cell block with other prisoners who came to him for advice and counseling and prayer and that he was a beneficial presence in the places where he lived inside the Wake County Jail.

I counseled him against having relationships with any other prisoner because inside jails there are always people who are trying to take advantage of or to manipulate in some way.

And he said: Well, thank you very much, but that is not what I'm doing here.

And so even now, that's been what -- that's what he's been doing for the last year, not hiding in a corner, not feeling sorry for himself, but continuing in the way that -- I call it the bright side of him, has been planning to do since the beginning.

I think that whatever psychologically is wrong or off about him can be addressed with treatment

because everything else from people who know him well, 00:18:59 1 and a lot of them are here behind me today, there are 00:19:03 2 ten or 12 people here that came from Raleigh and New 00:19:06 3 Bern and other places that have written letters and some 00:19:10 4 00:19:11 other folks that wanted to be here, they all say the 5 00:19:16 6 same thing. 7 00:19:17 THE COURT: Well, do you think that it would be beneficial to your advocacy on behalf of your client 00:19:23 8 00:19:29 9 to have some additional time to develop this psychological assessment of him before I impose a 00:19:36 10 00:19:40 11 sentence? 12 00:19:42 MR. MANNING: I would certainly do that. 00:19:52 13 THE COURT: Would there be any objection on the part of the Government if I were to continue the 00:19:54 14 00:19:56 15 sentencing for the purpose of that assessment being undertaken and a report being provided? 00:20:00 16 17 Well, Your Honor, obviously the 00:20:03 MR. ONTJES: United States is prepared to go forward today; that was 00:20:04 18 our intent. But if the Court wishes to have more 00:20:07 19 00:20:10 20 information that an evaluation would provide, the 2.1 government does not object. 00:20:15 00:20:17 22 THE COURT: And the Defendant consents to 00:20:22 23 this? 00:20:22 2.4 MR. MANNING: Yes. 25 00:20:22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

```
00:20:24
        1
                         THE COURT: I don't know, are you appointed
            or retained?
00:20:25
        2
                         MR. MANNING: Retained, Your Honor.
00:20:27
        3
00:20:29
        4
                         THE COURT:
                                     So you know there are a number
            of psychologists that provide this type of information?
00:20:33
        5
00:20:36
        6
                         MR. MANNING:
                                        Yes.
        7
                         I'll keep the Court informed, keep the
00:20:37
00:20:44
            government informed as to my progress.
        8
00:20:46
        9
                         THE COURT:
                                     I'll set it in 90 days.
                                                                  And if
00:20:49
       10
            we can get it done sooner than that, I can pull it back
00:20:51
       11
                 But I think that would give more than enough time.
       12
00:20:54
                         MR. MANNING:
                                        Yes. The only proviso is
            Judge Howard may have me for four weeks in a difficult
00:20:58
       13
            case in the next 90 days, But I'll let the Court know.
00:21:01
       14
00:21:05
       15
                         THE COURT: If that happens, we can work
            around that.
00:21:07
       16
00:21:08
       17
                         MR. MANNING:
                                         Thank you.
00:21:09
       18
                         THE COURT:
                                     Otherwise, we'll just go ahead
            for purposes of not losing track of it, put it on the
00:21:10
       19
00:21:13
       20
            docket for that term in 90 days. But if there's a need,
       2.1
            either side has a need to continue it, just let me know.
00:21:17
00:21:20
       22
            So the report will be shared with the government and
00:21:24
       23
            filed under seal with the Court.
00:21:25
       2.4
                         MR. MANNING: Yes.
       25
00:21:27
                         THE COURT: But I don't want to -- you might
```

well have a right, if you review the report and you 1 determine it doesn't help your advocacy -- I mean, I'm 2 not making this a court order, so maybe we need to kind 3 of talk through this. But I don't want to override your 4 attorney-client relationship. 5 MR. MANNING: I'll make that determination, 6 7 let the Court know one way or the other. 8 THE COURT: Because your argument returns to this time and time again about psychologically he's 9 not -- he's not a danger; he can be treated. And so I 10 think for me to fully assess the weight of that 11 argument, I'm giving you the opportunity to have him 12 13 evaluated. And I'm giving you the opportunity, if you choose to, to proceed with benefit of that report. 14

> MR. MANNING: Thank you.

THE COURT: So I think that's how I'm leaving it. Anything else?

> MR. ONTJES: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: It's a very troubling, troubling case. I did think it was interesting that I didn't hear from anybody at Liberty. There's no letter you sent me from anybody at Liberty University, right? If you want to develop that part of your client's history and characteristics, you can supplement your filings. of course, if there's anything else you want me to take

into consideration, just get it to me a week before the 00:23:04 1 00:23:07 2 sentencing when we finally do it. 00:23:09 3 So I'm going to remand you back in custody. You're going to get credit towards any sentence I impose 00:23:13 4 with respect to time served. Thank you. 00:23:17 5 6 MR. MANNING: Thank you, Your Honor. 7 (Concluded at 11:34 a.m.) 8 9 CERTIFICATE 10 11 12 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled 13 14 matter. 15 /s/ Tracy L. McGurk\_\_\_\_ 16 8/23/2018 17 Tracy L. McGurk, RMR, CRR Date 18 19 20 21 22 23 2.4 25